

---

**Date of Meeting:**

Tuesday 8<sup>th</sup> December 2009

---

Item	Decision
7.1 <b>Gambling Act 2005 – Statement of Gambling Policy</b>	Recommendations approved.
7.2 <b>Proposed Amendments to the Constitution - Proposed Changes to the Call-in Procedure</b>	Recommendations approved.
7.3 <b>Proposed Amendments to the Constitution – Benchmarking on Local Authority Democratic Procedures</b>	Recommendations approved.
7.4 <b>Proposed Amendments to the Constitution - Limit to Scope of Questions at Council Meetings</b>	Recommendations approved.
7.5 <b>Review of Members' Allowances Scheme</b>	Recommendations approved.
7.6.1 <b>Budget Report</b>	Recommendations approved.
8.1 <b>Special Responsibility Allowances for the Chair and Deputy Chair of the City Council's Audit Committee</b>	Recommendations approved.
8.2 <b>Appointments to Scrutiny and Whitefriars Housing Group</b>	Recommendations approved.
10.1 <b>Debate: - (The following amendment to the debate set out on the agenda was moved by Councillor Ridley and seconded by Councillor Foster, and was subsequently carried) "This Council condemns recent attempts by the Labour Government to bounce the City Council into building an eco-town on greenbelt land at Keresley. Although the Council is actively promoting the building of eco-friendly homes on existing</b>	The amended motion was adopted

<p><b>brownfield developments the Council resolves to oppose the building of an eco-town in or around Coventry.</b></p> <p><b>This Council believes that at the moment there is no case to prove the need to use greenbelt land in Coventry or the surrounding area for house building. Sufficient brownfield land is already available to build 22,760 new homes in the City. Excluding developments in the Canley and NDC regeneration projects this Council therefore states unequivocally that we have no intention of building homes on greenbelt land at least until the overwhelming majority of brownfield sites have been developed. We also confirm that land designated for employment use will in the majority of instances be retained for employment use."</b></p>	
<p><b>10.2 Debate: (The following amendment to the debate set out on the agenda was moved by Councillor Noonan and seconded by Councillor Lee, and was subsequently carried)</b></p> <p><b>"This Council believes that the current evaluation criteria being proposed for Project Transform will enable evaluation under DEFRA guidelines of all forms and types of cheaper, newer and greener technologies of waste treatment that potential bidders would be invited to submit.</b></p> <p><b>This Council also recognises that Project Transform will continue to look at all possible options for future funding for a Sub Regional Residual Waste Treatment Solution."</b></p>	<p>The amended motion was adopted</p>